Dear FCC, Three months ago I purchased an XM satellite radio. I have to tell you it is the coolist purchase I have made since satellite TV. I know that most people, at first, might think "but you have to pay for it and regular public radio is free". But after just one week I realized that I made the right choice. So many channels and so much quality to offer. And when you look deeply at this situation as whole you might just see that you are paying for the public radio stations - just indirectly by having to indure those stupid commercials, LIMITED CHOICE of music and talk and rude, idiotic DJ's. These radio as well as television companys have become so rich off of the public. They dont provide for the public - but XM does at a small cost.

Best of all though is the fact that on my 1.5 hour long commute to DC five days a week I have local weather and traffic! So I do not need to listen to Public radio. I guess the point that I am getting to is that I pay for this service so why are lobbist of the National Association of Broadcasters involved in changing MY service. I have the right to listen to what I wish if I am paying for it! So I Respectfully urge the FCC to reject the NAB's petition 04-160 and to support XM's ability to provide the kind of programming that I demand and deserve.

Sincerly, Christopher Bell